### Heuristic Approaches

### Mark Voorhies

### 5/5/2017



3

• PAM1 matrix originally calculated from manual alignments of highly conserved sequences (myoglobin, cytochrome C, etc.)

- PAM1 matrix originally calculated from manual alignments of highly conserved sequences (myoglobin, cytochrome C, etc.)
- We can think of a PAM matrix as evolving a sequence by one unit of time.

- PAM1 matrix originally calculated from manual alignments of highly conserved sequences (myoglobin, cytochrome C, etc.)
- We can think of a PAM matrix as evolving a sequence by one unit of time.
- If evolution is uniform over time, then PAM matrices for larger evolutionary steps can be generated by multiplying PAM1 by itself (so, higher numbered PAM matrices represent greater evolutionary distances).

- PAM1 matrix originally calculated from manual alignments of highly conserved sequences (myoglobin, cytochrome C, etc.)
- We can think of a PAM matrix as evolving a sequence by one unit of time.
- If evolution is uniform over time, then PAM matrices for larger evolutionary steps can be generated by multiplying PAM1 by itself (so, higher numbered PAM matrices represent greater evolutionary distances).
- The BLOSUM matrices were determined from automatically generated ungapped alignments. Higher numbered BLOSUM matrices correspond to *smaller* evolutionary distances. BLOSUM62 is the default matrix for BLAST.

Frequency of residue *i*:

Frequency of residue *i*:

pi

Frequency of residue *i* aligned to residue *j*:

q<sub>ij</sub>

Frequency of residue *i*:

pi

Frequency of residue *i* aligned to residue *j*:

q<sub>ij</sub>

Expected frequency if i and j are independent:

p<sub>i</sub>p<sub>j</sub>

Frequency of residue *i*:

pi

Frequency of residue *i* aligned to residue *j*:

q<sub>ij</sub>

Expected frequency if i and j are independent:

p<sub>i</sub>p<sub>j</sub>

Ratio of observed to expected frequency:

Frequency of residue i:

pi

Frequency of residue *i* aligned to residue *j*:

q<sub>ij</sub>

Expected frequency if i and j are independent:

p<sub>i</sub>p<sub>j</sub>

Ratio of observed to expected frequency:

q<sub>ij</sub> p<sub>i</sub>pj

Log odds (LOD) score:

$$s(i,j) = \log \frac{q_{ij}}{p_i p_j}$$

### BLOSUM45 in alphabetical order



э

# Clustering amino acids on log odds scores

```
import networkx as nx
trv:
    import Pycluster
except ImportError:
    import Bio. Cluster as Pycluster
class ScoreCluster:
    def __init__(self. S. alpha_aa = "ACDEFGHIKLMNPQRSTVWY"):
        """Initialize from numpy array of scaled log odds scores."""
        (x, y) = S.shape
        assert(x == y == len(alpha_aa))
       # Interpret the largest score as a distance of zero
       D = max(S.reshape(x**2)) - S
       # Maximum-linkage clustering, with a user-supplied distance matrix
        tree = Pycluster.treecluster(distancematrix = D, method = "m")
        # Use NetworkX to read out the amino-acids in clustered order
       G = nx. DiGraph()
        for (n,i) in enumerate(tree):
            for i in (i.left. i.right):
               G.add_edge(-(n+1),i)
        self.ordering = [i for i in nx.dfs_preorder(G, -len(tree)) if(i >= 0)]
        self.names = "".join(alpha_aa[i] for i in self.ordering)
        self.C = self.permute(S)
    def permute(self. S):
        """ Given square matrix S in alphabetical order, return rows and columns
        of S permuted to match the clustered order."""
        return array([[S[i][j] for j in self.ordering] for i in self.ordering])
                                                       < ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .
```

## BLOSUM45 – maximum linkage clustering



## BLOSUM62 with BLOSUM45 ordering



э

# BLOSUM80 with BLOSUM45 ordering



э

The implementation of local alignment is the same as for global alignment, with a few changes to the rules:

- Initialize edges to 0 (no penalty for starting in the middle of a sequence)
- The maximum score is never less than 0, and no pointer is recorded unless the score is greater than 0 (note that this implies negative scores for gaps and bad matches)
- The trace-back starts from the highest score in the matrix and ends at a score of 0 (*local, rather than global, alignment*)

Because the naive implementation is essentially the same, the time and space requirements are also the same.

## Smith-Waterman



æ

Э

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶

### Why BLAST?

- Fast, heuristic approximation to a full Smith-Waterman local alignment
- Developed with a statistical framework to calculate expected number of false positive hits.
- Heuristics biased towards "biologically relevant" hits.





# BLAST: Myers and Miller local alignment around seed pairs





@▶ ∢ ≣▶

문 문 문

# Karlin-Altschul Statistics

$$E = kmne^{-\lambda S}$$

- *E*: Expected number of "random" hits in a database of this size scoring *at least* S.
- S: HSP score
- m: Query length
- n: Database size
- k: Correction for similar, overlapping hits
- $\lambda$ : normalization factor for scoring matrix

# Karlin-Altschul Statistics

 $E = kmne^{-\lambda S}$ 

- E: Expected number of "random" hits in a database of this size scoring *at least* S.
- S: HSP score
- m: Query length
- n: Database size
- k: Correction for similar, overlapping hits
- $\lambda$ : normalization factor for scoring matrix

A variant of this formula is used to generate sum probabilities for combined HSPs.

# Karlin-Altschul Statistics

$$E = kmne^{-\lambda S}$$

- E: Expected number of "random" hits in a database of this size scoring *at least* S.
- S: HSP score
- m: Query length
- n: Database size
- k: Correction for similar, overlapping hits
- $\lambda$ : normalization factor for scoring matrix

A variant of this formula is used to generate sum probabilities for combined HSPs.

$$p = 1 - e^{-E}$$

 $E = kmne^{-\lambda S}$ 

- *E*: Expected number of "random" hits in a database of this size scoring *at least* S.
- S: HSP score
- m: Query length
- n: Database size
- k: Correction for similar, overlapping hits
- $\lambda$ : normalization factor for scoring matrix

A variant of this formula is used to generate sum probabilities for combined HSPs.

$$p = 1 - e^{-E}$$

(If you care about the difference between E and p, you're already in trouble)



æ

# 1<sup>st</sup> order Markov Model

# $\square \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow \square$

⊡ ► < ≣ ►

æ

# 1<sup>st</sup> order Markov Model

# $\square \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow \square$ A A T T T G G G C G

æ

> ∢≣

# 1<sup>st</sup> order Markov Model



æ

## What are Markov Models good for?

- Background sequence composition
- Spam





æ

э

→ ∢ Ξ

P.



æ

< E



æ



æ





æ

# The Viterbi algorithm: Alignment



æ

# The Viterbi algorithm: Alignment



- Dynamic programming, like Smith-Waterman
- Sums best log probabilities of emissions and transitions (*i.e.*, multiplying independent probabilities)
- Result is most likely annotation of the target with hidden states

# The Forward algorithm: Net probability



- Probability-weighted sum over all possible paths
- Simple modification of Viterbi (although summing probabilities means we have to be more careful about rounding error)
- Result is the probability that the observed sequence is explained by the model
- In practice, this probability is compared to that of a null model (*e.g.*, random genomic sequence)

# Training an HMM



 If we have a set of sequences with known hidden states (e.g., from experiment), then we can calculate the emission and transition probabilities directly

# Training an HMM



- If we have a set of sequences with known hidden states (e.g., from experiment), then we can calculate the emission and transition probabilities directly
- Otherwise, they can be iteratively fit to a set of unlabeled sequences that are known to be true matches to the model

# Training an HMM



- If we have a set of sequences with known hidden states (e.g., from experiment), then we can calculate the emission and transition probabilities directly
- Otherwise, they can be iteratively fit to a set of unlabeled sequences that are known to be true matches to the model
- The most common fitting procedure is the Baum-Welch algorithm, a special case of expectation maximization (EM)

## Profile Alignments: Plan 7



(Image from Sean Eddy, PLoS Comp. Biol. 4:e1000069)

글▶ 글

# Profile Alignments: Plan 7 (from Outer Space)



(Image from Sean Eddy, PLoS Comp. Biol. 4:e1000069)

# Rigging Plan 7 for Multi-Hit Alignment



(Image from Sean Eddy, PLoS Comp. Biol. 4:e1000069)

# HMMer3 speeds



Eddy, PLoS Comp. Biol. 7:e1002195

< □ > <

# HMMer3 sensitivity and specificity



Eddy, PLoS Comp. Biol. 7:e1002195

A 10

### Stochastic Context Free Grammars





- $\bullet\,$  Can emit from both sides  $\to\,$  base pairs
- $\bullet\,$  Can duplicate emitter  $\rightarrow\,$  bifurcations















 • Keep working on your dynamic programming code.

▶ ∢ ≣